

PROPOSAL CRITIQUE FORM FOR THE
VAUGHNIE LINDSAY NEW INVESTIGATOR AWARD COMPETITIONS
To be completed by the School/College Research Committee
[Please use additional pages, if necessary, to continue any item below.]

A. Applicant Name: _____

B. Title of Project: _____

C. This proposal was ranked # _____ of a total of _____ proposals reviewed by our committee/subcommittee of the School/College of _____ .

D. In order to determine the quality of proposals for this competition, the Graduate School's RPAB Committee will use the following criteria:

1. Evidence that the award of a New Investigator Award will enable specific advances in the applicant's discipline.
2. Evidence of careful planning for an integrated, feasible, one-year program of research or creative activities that will make effective use of the support offered by a New Investigator Award.
3. Evidence of professional qualifications to undertake, carry out, and complete the planned program of research or creative activities.
4. Evidence of the significance and the potential for external funding of the planned program of research or creative activities.
5. Evaluation of the application from the College/School Dean and Department Chair.

E. If any additional criteria were used by the School/College Research Committee/Subcommittee in judging proposals for this competition, then please list them below:

F. The strongest aspects of this proposal were: *[At least one aspect of the proposal must be addressed in this critique.]*

G. The aspects of this proposal that could benefit most from revision are: *[At least one aspect of the proposal must be addressed in this critique.]*

H. The summary evaluation of this proposal is as follows:

(Signature of School/College Research Committee/Subcommittee Chair)

(Date)

(Signature of School/College Dean)

(Date)