

RGRDS Rubric

Criteria	Insufficient (0)	Needs Improvement (1-2)	Adequate (2-3)	Exemplary (4-5)	SCORE
Significance & Impact of Research	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No background, rationale, or significance of project articulated 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Background of related literature is not clear or not connected to project Justification of project and potential impact is weak or unstructured	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Background of related literature is summarized and is somewhat related to project Justification of project and potential impact is sufficient and clearly stated	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Background of related literature is well written and strongly related to project Justification of project and potential impact is compelling and well structured	
Clarity & Feasibility of Methodology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Explanation of research methodology not included 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Explanation of methodology is unorganized or hard to follow Methodology/timelines may need significant modifications for project to be executed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Explanation of methodology is clearly stated Proposed methodology is likely feasible in timeline provided, but may need minor modifications	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Explanation of methodology is well written and explained Proposed methodology and timeline is clearly well planned and is not likely to need any modifications	
Appropriateness of Budget	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Budget descriptions not included 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Budget narrative and item descriptions lack sufficient level of detail Budgeted items may not fully support execution of project 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Budget narrative and item descriptions provide some level of detail but some needed resources may not be fully addressed Budgeted items will likely support execution of project, minor modifications may be necessary	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Budget narrative and item descriptions provide excellent level of detail and all needed resources are fully addressed Budgeted items clearly will support execution of project with no expected modifications necessary	
Overall Clarity & Composition of Proposal	Crucial elements of the proposal not included	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All required elements are included but do not form a logical argument Several critical grammatical errors found Descriptions are vague and no attempt is made to speak to an educated lay audience	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All required elements are included and form a logical argument A few minor grammatical errors found Descriptions are mostly clear but may not be understood by an educated lay audience	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All required elements are included and form a compelling argument No grammatical errors found Descriptions are clear and project is able to be understood by an educated lay audience	
TOTAL SCORE (Maximum of 20):					