## Scoring Rubric for Vaughnie Lindsay New Investigator Award and Hoppe Research Professor Award

Provide a rationale in the Comments section for each review element. Note that verbatim comments may be returned to nominees. Numerical scores resulting from using this scoring rubric will help in final decisions but do not have to be the only deciding factor.

Component	Exemplary (5-6)	Adequate (3-4)	Needs Improvement (1-2)	Insufficient (0)		
History at SIUE of research and creative activity products*	<ul> <li>R&amp;CA product(s) each year</li> <li>Various types of products over time</li> <li>National and/or international venues</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Consistent R&amp;CA products over time</li> <li>Various types of products over time</li> <li>National and/or international venues</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Inconsistent R&amp;CA products over time</li> <li>Only one type of product (e.g., presentations)</li> <li>State and/or regional venues</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Inconsistent R&amp;CA products over time</li> <li>Only one type of product (e.g., presentations)</li> </ul>		
*Research and creative activity products including but not limited to presentations, publications, patents, performances, and juried exhibits						

Comments (Verbatim comments may be returned to nominees.):

the significance  Clear and convincing evidence of the significance  Receipt of external  understanding of the significance significance significance significance  Evidence of the significance present significance Receipt of external  significance  Receipt of external  significance  Receipt of external  significance  Receipt of external  No external	nt (1-2) Insufficient (0)
Significance of their research and creative activities recognition (including but not limited to national or and/or speaking engagements, serving as PI or co-PI on nationally or intonationally funded project(s), patents)  • Receipt of external recognition, such as receipt of recognition (including but not limited to state or regional award(s), senior personnel on externally funded project(s))  • Receipt of external recognition, such as receipt of recognition (including but not limited to state or regional award(s), senior personnel on externally funded project(s))	the significance  Inadequate evidence of the significance present  No external recognition

Component	Exemplary (5-6)	Adequate (3-4)	Needs Improvement (1-2)	Insufficient (0)
Promise of making	• Research & creative	Consistent research &	• Inconsistent research &	No research & creative
significant	activities* each year at	creative activities* at SIUE	creative activities* at SIUE at	activities* at SIUE at the
contributions to their	SIUE at the national	at the national and/or	the national and/or	national and/or international
fields of study	and/or international levels	international levels	international levels	levels

\*Research & creative activities including but not limited to submissions of book proposals, funding proposals, publications, presentations, working on teams with non-SIUE researchers

Comments (Verbatim comments may be returned to nominees.):