

School of Mathematics

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICAL SCIENCES



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

Multi-normed spaces

Paul Ramsden

July 2009

Multi-normed spaces

Definition

A **multi-normed space** is a Banach space E equipped with a sequence of norms $\{\|\cdot\|_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ on the linear spaces $\{E^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ satisfying:

$$(A1) \quad \|(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)})\|_n = \|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_n;$$

$$(A2) \quad \|(\alpha_1 x_1, \dots, \alpha_n x_n)\|_n \leq (\max_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n} |\alpha_i|) \|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_n;$$

$$(A3) \quad \|(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0)\|_n = \|(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})\|_{n-1};$$

$$(A4) \quad \|(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x, x)\|_n = \|(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x)\|_{n-1}.$$

Example

$$\|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_n = \max \{\|x_i\| : i \in \mathbb{N}_n\}$$

Multi-normed spaces

Definition

A **multi-normed space** is a Banach space E equipped with a sequence of norms $\{\|\cdot\|_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ on the linear spaces $\{E^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ satisfying:

$$(A1) \quad \|(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)})\|_n = \|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_n;$$

$$(A2) \quad \|(\alpha_1 x_1, \dots, \alpha_n x_n)\|_n \leq (\max_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n} |\alpha_i|) \|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_n;$$

$$(A3) \quad \|(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0)\|_n = \|(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})\|_{n-1};$$

$$(A4) \quad \|(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x, x)\|_n = \|(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x)\|_{n-1}.$$

Example

$$\|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_n = \max \{\|x_i\| : i \in \mathbb{N}_n\}$$

Multi-normed spaces

- ▶ E is a Banach space.

Proposition

Let $\{\|\cdot\|_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence of norms on the spaces $\{E^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then E is a multi-normed space if and only if

$$\|Ax\|_m \leq \|A\|_\infty \|x\|_n \quad (A \in \mathbb{M}_{m,n}, x \in E^n, m, n \in \mathbb{N}). \quad \square$$

- ▶ E is a Banach space.

Proposition

Let $\{\|\cdot\|_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence of norms on the spaces $\{E^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then E is a multi-normed space if and only if

$$\|Ax\|_m \leq \|A\|_\infty \|x\|_n \quad (A \in \mathbb{M}_{m,n}, x \in E^n, m, n \in \mathbb{N}).$$



Definition

A norm α on the linear space $c_0 \otimes E$ is a *c_0 -norm* if:

- (i) $\alpha(x \otimes y) = \|x\| \|y\|$ for every $x \in c_0$ and $y \in E$, and
- (ii) $T \otimes I_E$ is bounded on $(c_0 \otimes E, \alpha)$ with $\|T \otimes I_E\| \leq \|T\|$ for each $T \in \mathcal{B}(c_0)$.

$$\triangleright \varepsilon(z) \leq \alpha(z) \leq \pi(z)$$

Proposition (Daws)

The study of multi-norms over E is equivalent to the study of c_0 -norms on $c_0 \otimes E$. □

Definition

A norm α on the linear space $c_0 \otimes E$ is a *c_0 -norm* if:

(i) $\alpha(x \otimes y) = \|x\| \|y\|$ for every $x \in c_0$ and $y \in E$, and

(ii) $T \otimes I_E$ is bounded on $(c_0 \otimes E, \alpha)$ with $\|T \otimes I_E\| \leq \|T\|$ for each $T \in \mathcal{B}(c_0)$.

$$\blacktriangleright \varepsilon(z) \leq \alpha(z) \leq \pi(z)$$

Proposition (Daws)

The study of multi-norms over E is equivalent to the study of c_0 -norms on $c_0 \otimes E$. □

Definition

A norm α on the linear space $c_0 \otimes E$ is a *c_0 -norm* if:

- (i) $\alpha(x \otimes y) = \|x\| \|y\|$ for every $x \in c_0$ and $y \in E$, and
- (ii) $T \otimes I_E$ is bounded on $(c_0 \otimes E, \alpha)$ with $\|T \otimes I_E\| \leq \|T\|$ for each $T \in \mathcal{B}(c_0)$.

▶ $\varepsilon(z) \leq \alpha(z) \leq \pi(z)$

Proposition (Daws)

The study of multi-norms over E is equivalent to the study of c_0 -norms on $c_0 \otimes E$. □

Pisier's representation theorem

Example

- ▶ L a Banach lattice.
- ▶ $J : E \rightarrow L$ an isometric embedding.
- ▶ The formula

$$\alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \delta_j \otimes x_j \right) = \| |J(x_1)| \vee \cdots \vee |J(x_n)| \|_L \quad (*)$$

defines a c_0 -norm on $c_0 \otimes E$.

Theorem (Pisier (2001))

Let α be a c_0 -norm on $c_0 \otimes E$. Then there exists a Banach lattice L and an isometric embedding $J : E \rightarrow L$ such that $(*)$ holds. \square

Pisier's representation theorem

Example

- ▶ L a Banach lattice.
- ▶ $J : E \rightarrow L$ an isometric embedding.
- ▶ The formula

$$\alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \delta_j \otimes x_j \right) = \| |J(x_1)| \vee \cdots \vee |J(x_n)| \|_L \quad (*)$$

defines a c_0 -norm on $c_0 \otimes E$.

Theorem (Pisier (2001))

Let α be a c_0 -norm on $c_0 \otimes E$. Then there exists a Banach lattice L and an isometric embedding $J : E \rightarrow L$ such that () holds. \square*

Pisier's representation theorem

Example

- ▶ L a Banach lattice.
- ▶ $J : E \rightarrow L$ an isometric embedding.
- ▶ The formula

$$\alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \delta_j \otimes x_j \right) = \| |J(x_1)| \vee \cdots \vee |J(x_n)| \|_L \quad (*)$$

defines a c_0 -norm on $c_0 \otimes E$.

Theorem (Pisier (2001))

Let α be a c_0 -norm on $c_0 \otimes E$. Then there exists a Banach lattice L and an isometric embedding $J : E \rightarrow L$ such that () holds. \square*

Pisier's representation theorem

Example

- ▶ L a Banach lattice.
- ▶ $J : E \rightarrow L$ an isometric embedding.
- ▶ The formula

$$\alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \delta_j \otimes x_j \right) = \| |J(x_1)| \vee \cdots \vee |J(x_n)| \|_L \quad (*)$$

defines a c_0 -norm on $c_0 \otimes E$.

Theorem (Pisier (2001))

Let α be a c_0 -norm on $c_0 \otimes E$. Then there exists a Banach lattice L and an isometric embedding $J : E \rightarrow L$ such that () holds. \square*

Replace ∞ by p ?

▶ $\|Ax\|_m \leq \|A\|_p \|x\|_n \iff \ell^p\text{-norm on } \ell^p \otimes E$

▶ $\|Ax\|_m \leq \|A\|_1 \|x\|_n \iff \text{(A1)-(A3) +}$

(B4) $\|(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x, x)\|_n = \|(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, 2x)\|_{n-1}$

▶ Given $J : E \rightarrow L$. The formula

$$\alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \delta_j \otimes x_j \right) = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n |J(x_j)| \right\|_L \quad (**)$$

defines an ℓ^1 -norm on $\ell^1 \otimes E$.

Replace ∞ by p ?

▶ $\|Ax\|_m \leq \|A\|_p \|x\|_n \iff \ell^p\text{-norm on } \ell^p \otimes E$

▶ $\|Ax\|_m \leq \|A\|_1 \|x\|_n \iff \text{(A1)-(A3) +}$

(B4) $\|(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x, x)\|_n = \|(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, 2x)\|_{n-1}$

▶ Given $J : E \rightarrow L$. The formula

$$\alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \delta_j \otimes x_j \right) = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n |J(x_j)| \right\|_L \quad (**)$$

defines an ℓ^1 -norm on $\ell^1 \otimes E$.

Replace ∞ by p ?

- ▶ $\|Ax\|_m \leq \|A\|_p \|x\|_n \iff \ell^p\text{-norm on } \ell^p \otimes E$
- ▶ $\|Ax\|_m \leq \|A\|_1 \|x\|_n \iff \text{(A1)-(A3) +}$
(B4) $\|(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x, x)\|_n = \|(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, 2x)\|_{n-1}$
- ▶ Given $J : E \rightarrow L$. The formula

$$\alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \delta_j \otimes x_j \right) = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n |J(x_j)| \right\|_L \quad (**)$$

defines an ℓ^1 -norm on $\ell^1 \otimes E$.

Replace ∞ by p ?

- ▶ $\|Ax\|_m \leq \|A\|_p \|x\|_n \iff \ell^p\text{-norm on } \ell^p \otimes E$
- ▶ $\|Ax\|_m \leq \|A\|_1 \|x\|_n \iff \text{(A1)-(A3) +}$
 $\text{(B4) } \|(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x, x)\|_n = \|(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, 2x)\|_{n-1}$
- ▶ Given $J : E \rightarrow L$. The formula

$$\alpha \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \delta_j \otimes x_j \right) = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n |J(x_j)| \right\|_L \quad (**)$$

defines an ℓ^1 -norm on $\ell^1 \otimes E$.

The maximum multi-norm

The **maximum multi-norm** over E is defined by

$$\|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_n^{\max} = \sup \|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_n^\alpha \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}, x_1, \dots, x_n \in E),$$

where the supremum is taken over all multi-norms $\|\cdot\|_n^\alpha$ on E .

$$\triangleright \|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_n^{\max} = \pi \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i \otimes x_i \right)$$

Proposition

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E^n$, we have

$$\|x\|_n^{\max} = \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i, \lambda_i \rangle \right| : \lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in (E')^n, \mu_{1,n}(\lambda) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

□

The maximum multi-norm

The **maximum multi-norm** over E is defined by

$$\|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_n^{\max} = \sup \|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_n^\alpha \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}, x_1, \dots, x_n \in E),$$

where the supremum is taken over all multi-norms $\|\cdot\|_n^\alpha$ on E .

► $\|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_n^{\max} = \pi \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i \otimes x_i \right)$

Proposition

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E^n$, we have

$$\|x\|_n^{\max} = \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i, \lambda_i \rangle \right| : \lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in (E')^n, \mu_{1,n}(\lambda) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

□

The maximum multi-norm

The **maximum multi-norm** over E is defined by

$$\|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_n^{\max} = \sup \|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_n^\alpha \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}, x_1, \dots, x_n \in E),$$

where the supremum is taken over all multi-norms $\|\cdot\|_n^\alpha$ on E .

► $\|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_n^{\max} = \pi \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i \otimes x_i \right)$

Proposition

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E^n$, we have

$$\|x\|_n^{\max} = \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i, \lambda_i \rangle \right| : \lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in (E')^n, \mu_{1,n}(\lambda) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

□

The weak- (p, q) multi-norm

Proposition

Let $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define a norm on E^n by

$$\|x\|_n^{(p,q)} = \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\langle x_i, \lambda_i \rangle|^q \right)^{1/q} : \lambda \in (E')^n, \mu_{p,n}(\lambda) \leq 1 \right\},$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E^n$. Then the family $\{\|\cdot\|_n^{(p,q)} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a multi-norm over E , called the **weak- (p, q) multi-norm**. \square

► Let $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$.

► Obvious: $\|\cdot\|_n^{(1,q)} \leq \|\cdot\|_n^{(p,q)} \leq \|\cdot\|_n^{(q,q)}$

► Less obvious: $\|\cdot\|_n^{(p,p)} \leq \|\cdot\|_n^{(q,q)} \leq \|\cdot\|_n^{(1,1)}$

The weak- (p, q) multi-norm

Proposition

Let $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define a norm on E^n by

$$\|x\|_n^{(p,q)} = \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\langle x_i, \lambda_i \rangle|^q \right)^{1/q} : \lambda \in (E')^n, \mu_{p,n}(\lambda) \leq 1 \right\},$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E^n$. Then the family $\{\|\cdot\|_n^{(p,q)} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a multi-norm over E , called the **weak- (p, q) multi-norm**. \square

► Let $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$.

► Obvious: $\|\cdot\|_n^{(1,q)} \leq \|\cdot\|_n^{(p,q)} \leq \|\cdot\|_n^{(q,q)}$

► Less obvious: $\|\cdot\|_n^{(p,p)} \leq \|\cdot\|_n^{(q,q)} \leq \|\cdot\|_n^{(1,1)}$

The weak- (p, q) multi-norm

Proposition

Let $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define a norm on E^n by

$$\|x\|_n^{(p,q)} = \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\langle x_i, \lambda_i \rangle|^q \right)^{1/q} : \lambda \in (E')^n, \mu_{p,n}(\lambda) \leq 1 \right\},$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E^n$. Then the family $\{\|\cdot\|_n^{(p,q)} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a multi-norm over E , called the **weak- (p, q) multi-norm**. \square

► Let $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$.

► Obvious: $\|\cdot\|_n^{(1,q)} \leq \|\cdot\|_n^{(p,q)} \leq \|\cdot\|_n^{(q,q)}$

► Less obvious: $\|\cdot\|_n^{(p,p)} \leq \|\cdot\|_n^{(q,q)} \leq \|\cdot\|_n^{(1,1)}$

The weak- (p, q) multi-norm

Proposition

Let $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define a norm on E^n by

$$\|x\|_n^{(p,q)} = \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\langle x_i, \lambda_i \rangle|^q \right)^{1/q} : \lambda \in (E')^n, \mu_{p,n}(\lambda) \leq 1 \right\},$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E^n$. Then the family $\{\|\cdot\|_n^{(p,q)} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a multi-norm over E , called the **weak- (p, q) multi-norm**. \square

► Let $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$.

- Obvious: $\|\cdot\|_n^{(1,q)} \leq \|\cdot\|_n^{(p,q)} \leq \|\cdot\|_n^{(q,q)}$
- Less obvious: $\|\cdot\|_n^{(p,p)} \leq \|\cdot\|_n^{(q,q)} \leq \|\cdot\|_n^{(1,1)}$

The weak- (p, p) multi-norm

- ▶ E, F Banach spaces, $p \geq 1$. The *Chevet-Saphar p -norm* on $F \otimes E$ is defined by

$$d_p(z) = \inf \left\{ \mu_{p'}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|y_i\|^p \right)^{1/p} : z = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i \right\}.$$

- ▶ $(F \otimes E, d_p)' = \mathcal{P}_{p'}(F, E')$.

Theorem (Daws, R)

The weak- (p, p) multi-norm on E is induced by the Chevet-Saphar p' -norm on $c_0 \otimes E$. i.e.,

$$\|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_n^{(p,p)} = d_{p'} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \delta_j \otimes x_j \right).$$



The weak- (p, p) multi-norm

- ▶ E, F Banach spaces, $p \geq 1$. The *Chevet-Saphar p -norm* on $F \otimes E$ is defined by

$$d_p(z) = \inf \left\{ \mu_{p'}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|y_i\|^p \right)^{1/p} : z = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i \right\}.$$

- ▶ $(F \otimes E, d_p)' = \mathcal{P}_{p'}(F, E')$.

Theorem (Daws, R)

The weak- (p, p) multi-norm on E is induced by the Chevet-Saphar p' -norm on $c_0 \otimes E$. i.e.,

$$\|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_n^{(p,p)} = d_{p'} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \delta_j \otimes x_j \right).$$



The weak- (p, p) multi-norm

- ▶ E, F Banach spaces, $p \geq 1$. The *Chevet-Saphar p -norm* on $F \otimes E$ is defined by

$$d_p(z) = \inf \left\{ \mu_{p'}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|y_i\|^p \right)^{1/p} : z = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i \right\}.$$

- ▶ $(F \otimes E, d_p)' = \mathcal{P}_{p'}(F, E')$.

Theorem (Daws, R)

The weak- (p, p) multi-norm on E is induced by the Chevet-Saphar p' -norm on $c_0 \otimes E$. i.e.,

$$\|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_n^{(p,p)} = d_{p'} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \delta_j \otimes x_j \right).$$



The weak- $(1, p)$ multi-norm on $L^1(\Omega)$

Theorem (R)

Let Ω be a measure space, and let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then

$$\|(f_1, \dots, f_n)\|_n^{(1,p)} = \sup_{\mathbf{X}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|\chi_{X_i} f_i\|^p \right)^{1/p} \quad (f_1, \dots, f_n \in L^1(\Omega)).$$

where the supremum is taken over all measurable partitions $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ of Ω . □

► In particular, we have

$$\|(f_1, \dots, f_n)\|_n^{(1,1)} = \|(f_1, \dots, f_n)\|_n^{\max} = \||f_1| \vee \dots \vee |f_n|\|.$$

The weak-(1, p) multi-norm on $L^1(\Omega)$

Theorem (R)

Let Ω be a measure space, and let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then

$$\|(f_1, \dots, f_n)\|_n^{(1,p)} = \sup_{\mathbf{X}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|\chi_{X_i} f_i\|^p \right)^{1/p} \quad (f_1, \dots, f_n \in L^1(\Omega)).$$

where the supremum is taken over all measurable partitions $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ of Ω . □

► In particular, we have

$$\|(f_1, \dots, f_n)\|_n^{(1,1)} = \|(f_1, \dots, f_n)\|_n^{\max} = \||f_1| \vee \dots \vee |f_n|\|.$$

Definition

Let E be a multi-normed space. A subset $B \subset E$ is **multi-bounded** if

$$\sup \{ \|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_n : x_1, \dots, x_n \in B, n \in \mathbb{N} \} < \infty .$$

Definition

Let G be a locally compact group, and let $1 \leq p \leq q$. Then G is **(p, q) -amenable** if there exists a mean $\Lambda \in L^1(G)''$ such that the set $\{s \cdot \Lambda : s \in G\}$ is multi-bounded in the weak- (p, q) multi-norm.

- ▶ (q, q) -amenable \implies (p, q) -amenable \implies $(1, q)$ -amenable.
- ▶ $(1, 1)$ -amenable \implies (p, p) -amenable \implies (q, q) -amenable.

Definition

Let E be a multi-normed space. A subset $B \subset E$ is **multi-bounded** if

$$\sup \{ \|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_n : x_1, \dots, x_n \in B, n \in \mathbb{N} \} < \infty .$$

Definition

Let G be a locally compact group, and let $1 \leq p \leq q$. Then G is **(p, q) -amenable** if there exists a mean $\Lambda \in L^1(G)''$ such that the set $\{s \cdot \Lambda : s \in G\}$ is multi-bounded in the weak- (p, q) multi-norm.

- ▶ (q, q) -amenable \implies (p, q) -amenable \implies $(1, q)$ -amenable.
- ▶ $(1, 1)$ -amenable \implies (p, p) -amenable \implies (q, q) -amenable.

Definition

Let E be a multi-normed space. A subset $B \subset E$ is **multi-bounded** if

$$\sup \{ \|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_n : x_1, \dots, x_n \in B, n \in \mathbb{N} \} < \infty .$$

Definition

Let G be a locally compact group, and let $1 \leq p \leq q$. Then G is **(p, q) -amenable** if there exists a mean $\Lambda \in L^1(G)''$ such that the set $\{s \cdot \Lambda : s \in G\}$ is multi-bounded in the weak- (p, q) multi-norm.

- ▶ (q, q) -amenable \implies (p, q) -amenable \implies $(1, q)$ -amenable.
- ▶ $(1, 1)$ -amenable \implies (p, p) -amenable \implies (q, q) -amenable.

Definition

Let E be a multi-normed space. A subset $B \subset E$ is **multi-bounded** if

$$\sup \{ \|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_n : x_1, \dots, x_n \in B, n \in \mathbb{N} \} < \infty .$$

Definition

Let G be a locally compact group, and let $1 \leq p \leq q$. Then G is **(p, q) -amenable** if there exists a mean $\Lambda \in L^1(G)''$ such that the set $\{s \cdot \Lambda : s \in G\}$ is multi-bounded in the weak- (p, q) multi-norm.

- ▶ (q, q) -amenable $\implies (p, q)$ -amenable $\implies (1, q)$ -amenable.
- ▶ $(1, 1)$ -amenable $\implies (p, p)$ -amenable $\implies (q, q)$ -amenable.

Proposition

Let G be a locally compact group. Then G is $(1, 1)$ -amenable if and only if G is amenable. □

Proposition

The group \mathbb{F}_2 is not $(1, p)$ -amenable for any $p \geq 1$. □

Proposition

Let G be a locally compact group. Then G is $(1, 1)$ -amenable if and only if G is amenable. □

Proposition

The group \mathbb{F}_2 is not $(1, p)$ -amenable for any $p \geq 1$. □

Injective Banach modules

- ▶ Let A be a Banach algebra, and let $E \in A\text{-mod}$ be faithful.
- ▶ Then $\mathcal{B}(A, E) \in A\text{-mod}$ with the multiplication

$$(a \cdot T)(b) = T(ba) \quad (a, b \in A, T \in \mathcal{B}(A, E)).$$

- ▶ We define the *canonical embedding* $\Pi : E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(A, E)$ by the formula

$$\Pi(x)(a) = a \cdot x \quad (a \in A, x \in E).$$

Definition

The module E is *injective* if there exists a left A -module morphism $\rho : \mathcal{B}(A, E) \rightarrow E$ with $\rho \circ \Pi = I_E$.

Injective Banach modules

- ▶ Let A be a Banach algebra, and let $E \in A\text{-mod}$ be faithful.
- ▶ Then $\mathcal{B}(A, E) \in A\text{-mod}$ with the multiplication

$$(a \cdot T)(b) = T(ba) \quad (a, b \in A, T \in \mathcal{B}(A, E)).$$

- ▶ We define the *canonical embedding* $\Pi : E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(A, E)$ by the formula

$$\Pi(x)(a) = a \cdot x \quad (a \in A, x \in E).$$

Definition

The module E is *injective* if there exists a left A -module morphism $\rho : \mathcal{B}(A, E) \rightarrow E$ with $\rho \circ \Pi = I_E$.

Injective Banach modules

- ▶ Let A be a Banach algebra, and let $E \in A\text{-mod}$ be faithful.
- ▶ Then $\mathcal{B}(A, E) \in A\text{-mod}$ with the multiplication

$$(a \cdot T)(b) = T(ba) \quad (a, b \in A, T \in \mathcal{B}(A, E)).$$

- ▶ We define the *canonical embedding* $\Pi : E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(A, E)$ by the formula

$$\Pi(x)(a) = a \cdot x \quad (a \in A, x \in E).$$

Definition

The module E is *injective* if there exists a left A -module morphism $\rho : \mathcal{B}(A, E) \rightarrow E$ with $\rho \circ \Pi = I_E$.

Injective Banach modules

- ▶ Let A be a Banach algebra, and let $E \in A\text{-mod}$ be faithful.
- ▶ Then $\mathcal{B}(A, E) \in A\text{-mod}$ with the multiplication

$$(a \cdot T)(b) = T(ba) \quad (a, b \in A, T \in \mathcal{B}(A, E)).$$

- ▶ We define the *canonical embedding* $\Pi : E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(A, E)$ by the formula

$$\Pi(x)(a) = a \cdot x \quad (a \in A, x \in E).$$

Definition

The module E is *injective* if there exists a left A -module morphism $\rho : \mathcal{B}(A, E) \rightarrow E$ with $\rho \circ \Pi = I_E$.

The $L^1(G)$ module $L^p(G)$

- ▶ For each $1 < p < \infty$, $L^p(G) \in L^1(G)$ -**mod** with the multiplication

$$(a \cdot f)(t) = \int_G a(s)f(s^{-1}t) \, dm(s) \quad (a \in L^1(G), f \in L^p(G)).$$

- ▶ G amenable $\implies L^p(G)$ injective.

Theorem (R)

Let G be a discrete group, and let $1 < p < \infty$. Then

$$\ell^p(G) \text{ injective} \iff G \text{ (} p, p \text{)-amenable.} \quad \square$$

Theorem (R)

Let G be a locally compact group, and let $1 < p < \infty$. Then:

$$L^p(G) \text{ injective} \implies G \text{ (} p, p \text{)-amenable.} \quad \square$$

The $L^1(G)$ module $L^p(G)$

- ▶ For each $1 < p < \infty$, $L^p(G) \in L^1(G)$ -**mod** with the multiplication

$$(a \cdot f)(t) = \int_G a(s)f(s^{-1}t) \, dm(s) \quad (a \in L^1(G), f \in L^p(G)).$$

- ▶ G amenable $\implies L^p(G)$ injective.

Theorem (R)

Let G be a discrete group, and let $1 < p < \infty$. Then

$$\ell^p(G) \text{ injective} \iff G \text{ (} p, p \text{)-amenable.} \quad \square$$

Theorem (R)

Let G be a locally compact group, and let $1 < p < \infty$. Then:

$$L^p(G) \text{ injective} \implies G \text{ (} p, p \text{)-amenable.} \quad \square$$

The $L^1(G)$ module $L^p(G)$

- ▶ For each $1 < p < \infty$, $L^p(G) \in L^1(G)$ -**mod** with the multiplication

$$(a \cdot f)(t) = \int_G a(s)f(s^{-1}t) \, dm(s) \quad (a \in L^1(G), f \in L^p(G)).$$

- ▶ G amenable $\implies L^p(G)$ injective.

Theorem (R)

Let G be a discrete group, and let $1 < p < \infty$. Then

$$\ell^p(G) \text{ injective} \iff G \text{ (} p, p \text{)-amenable.} \quad \square$$

Theorem (R)

Let G be a locally compact group, and let $1 < p < \infty$. Then:

$$L^p(G) \text{ injective} \implies G \text{ (} p, p \text{)-amenable.} \quad \square$$

The $L^1(G)$ module $L^p(G)$

- ▶ For each $1 < p < \infty$, $L^p(G) \in L^1(G)$ -**mod** with the multiplication

$$(a \cdot f)(t) = \int_G a(s)f(s^{-1}t) \, dm(s) \quad (a \in L^1(G), f \in L^p(G)).$$

- ▶ G amenable $\implies L^p(G)$ injective.

Theorem (R)

Let G be a discrete group, and let $1 < p < \infty$. Then

$$\ell^p(G) \text{ injective} \iff G \text{ (} p, p \text{)-amenable.} \quad \square$$

Theorem (R)

Let G be a locally compact group, and let $1 < p < \infty$. Then:

$$L^p(G) \text{ injective} \implies G \text{ (} p, p \text{)-amenable.} \quad \square$$

Følner type conditions

Theorem (R)

Let G be a locally compact group, and let $1 < p < \infty$. Then:

$$G \text{ (1, } p\text{)-amenable} \implies G \text{ } p\text{-pseudo-amenable.} \quad \square$$

Definition

Let G be a locally compact group, and let $1 < p < \infty$. Then G is **p -pseudo-amenable** if there exists $C \geq 1$ such that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every finite set $F = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\} \subset G$, there exists a non-null, compact subset $S \subset G$ with

$$m(FS) \leq Cn^{1-1/p}m(S).$$

Theorem (Dales & Polyakov (2003))

Let G be a discrete group, and let $1 < p < \infty$. Then:

$$\ell^p(G) \text{ injective} \implies G \text{ } p\text{-pseudo-amenable} \implies \mathbb{F}_2 \not\subset G. \quad \square$$

Følner type conditions

Theorem (R)

Let G be a locally compact group, and let $1 < p < \infty$. Then:

$$G \text{ (1, } p\text{)-amenable} \implies G \text{ } p\text{-pseudo-amenable.} \quad \square$$

Definition

Let G be a locally compact group, and let $1 < p < \infty$. Then G is **p -pseudo-amenable** if there exists $C \geq 1$ such that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every finite set $F = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\} \subset G$, there exists a non-null, compact subset $S \subset G$ with

$$m(FS) \leq Cn^{1-1/p}m(S).$$

Theorem (Dales & Polyakov (2003))

Let G be a discrete group, and let $1 < p < \infty$. Then:

$$\ell^p(G) \text{ injective} \implies G \text{ } p\text{-pseudo-amenable} \implies \mathbb{F}_2 \not\subset G. \quad \square$$

Følner type conditions

Theorem (R)

Let G be a locally compact group, and let $1 < p < \infty$. Then:

$$G \text{ (1, } p\text{)-amenable} \implies G \text{ } p\text{-pseudo-amenable.} \quad \square$$

Definition

Let G be a locally compact group, and let $1 < p < \infty$. Then G is **p -pseudo-amenable** if there exists $C \geq 1$ such that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every finite set $F = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\} \subset G$, there exists a non-null, compact subset $S \subset G$ with

$$m(FS) \leq Cn^{1-1/p}m(S).$$

Theorem (Dales & Polyakov (2003))

Let G be a discrete group, and let $1 < p < \infty$. Then:

$$\ell^p(G) \text{ injective} \implies G \text{ } p\text{-pseudo-amenable} \implies \mathbb{F}_2 \not\subset G. \quad \square$$